SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.   I was kind of
rushing on that so let me be a little more thorough in answering
what Senator Beutler brought up about Section 2, again, where
we're talking about this pretrial hearing.   It dealt with
whether or not a person whose background had nothing in it that
suggested a lack of credibility.   Then you look at what is going
to be said, and you could consider these items and more.   What
were the circumstances under which you got this information?
When did you get it?   And if the guy or the woman would say,
well, on such-and-such a date at such-and-such a time I was at
such-and-such a place in the facility and that's when I heard
this person say this, if it's shown that any of those items
would be false because one or the other was not at that place at
that time, then what is being offered is not true.   And there
might be situations not quite that glaring.   But the purpose of
the hearing is to have all those involved there, and they can
make their point.   If you felt that this kind of pretrial
hearing should be in chambers that would be all right with me.
All I want to do is have a system in place where we can filter
out testimony which, if subjected to any analysis, could be
shown not to be the type that ought to be admitted.   This
proposal aims at that.   Since Senator Beutler's amendment
includes striking Section 2, I have to ask him a question.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator, would you respond?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Beutler, if I would divide the
question as to your amendment, and we take everything in your
amendment except Section 2 where you're striking Section 2, in
your opinion could the rest of your amendment, minus striking
Section 2, stand with Section 2 excised out?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a division of
the question.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Would...would you and Senator Beutler come